

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,263	11/03/2003	Darroll D. Bengtson	R11.12-0782	3685
7590 04/06/2005			EXAMINER	
David C. Bohn			THOMPSON, JEWEL VERGIE	
Westman, Cham	plin & Kelly			
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 Second Avenue South			2855	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/700,286	KWON, YOUNG WUK (
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jewel V. Thompson	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	<u>January 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 20-22 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,2

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 10-19 in the reply filed on January
 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

Acknowledgement is made of the Information Disclosure Statement filed October
 17, 1998, which has been made record of and placed in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dessert et al (6,257,071) in view of Cornil et al (6,199,434).

Page 3

. Regarding claims 10 and 15, Dessert et al teaches a vortex flow meter for installation between pipe flanges of size N, comprising: a vortex sensor assembly shaped to fit a standard sensor interface on a unitary flow tube (col.5, lines 9-11 and fig. 1); C. the unitary flow tube being formed as a unitary casting that is free of seams (fig. 1). Dessert et al fails to explicitly teach having upstream and downstream flow tube flanges of size N, and a bore of size number (N-A) where A is an integer in the range 1.2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the flange larger than the bore, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to have provided a flange and bore in the range that allows the flange to be larger than the bore for the purpose of allowing the flow meter to mate with the systems that receive and/or provide the process fluid (col. 5, lines 9-11, Dessert). Dessert fails to teach having expanders coupling between the flow tube flanges and the bore. Cornil et al teaches the expander-regulator (col. 7, lines 26-28). It would have been obvious to one ordinary still in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the expander of Cornil et al in the vortex flow meter of Dessert et al for the purpose of regulating the metering of gas so that there is no disturbance in the flow (col. 1, lines 11-14, Cornil)

Regarding claims 11 and 16, Dessert et al fails to teach a flow conditioner that comprises a plate perforated by multiple holes that is part of the unitary casting. Cornil

Application/Control Number: 10/700,236

Art Unit: 2855

Page 4

et al teaches a conditioner (10) comprising a perforated plate (104), which is part of the unitary casting (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one ordinary still in the art at the time that the invention was made to have placed the straightener of Cornil et al in the flow meter of Dessert for the purpose of eliminating turbulence or other flow jet and rotation effects that can be imparted by the gas passing through the expander-regulator and through certain pipe elements situated upstream from the metering device such as double bends or Tees, for example (col. 7, lines 60-65, Cornil)

Regarding claims 12 and 17, Dessert fails to teach the plate has streamlined edges around the multiple holes. Cornil et al teaches in fig 10, the holes having streamlined edges. It would have been obvious to one ordinary still in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the straightener having holes that provided streamlined edging of Cornil et al in the flow meter of Dessert et al for the purpose of eliminating any turbulence in the flow stream which provides a more accurate measurement.

4. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dessert et al in view of Cornil et al as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Khalifa (4,841,781).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, Dessert et al in view of Cornil et al fails to teach the flow conditioner comprising vanes having streamlined edges. Khalifa teaches a conditioner comprising vanes placed parallel to the axis of the vortex body (abstract). It would have been obvious to one ordinary still in the art at the time that the invention

26g

Application/Control Number: 10/700,236

Art Unit: 2855

was made to have used the conditioner having vanes of Khalifa in the flow meter of Dessert for the purpose of ensuring the reproduction of a desired turbulence level and mean fluid velocity profile in the plane perpendicular to the shedding body (abstract).

5. Claims 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dessert et al in view of Cornil et al as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Matt et al (6,408,700).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, Dessert et al in view of Cornil et al fails to teach a measured calibration with the expanders, and flanges in place stored in the vortex flow meter. Matt et al teaches a flow meter comprising flanges (fig. 1) and a microprocessor (43), which stores a calibration factor (col. 7, lines 42-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to have provided the microprocessor of Matt et al in the flow meter of Dessert et al for the purpose of storing the representative of the calibration factor in order to more accurately determine a flow rate which is transferred to an output (col. 7, lines 42-46, Matt)

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jewel V. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-2189. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30, off alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J∨t

March 31, 2005